



GRAD SLAM

UCLA

2023

JUDGING SCORECARD

Presenter's Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Date: _____

When scoring, the use of half points is permitted.

EXCELLENT

GOOD

POOR

SCORE

5

4

3

2

1

CLARITY: Did the speaker provide adequate background knowledge to make the talk and the subject understandable?

ORGANIZATION: Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?

DELIVERY: e.g., pace, enthusiasm, confidence, body language, eye contact, and vocal range.

APPROPRIATENESS: Was the topic communicated in language appropriate to an intelligent, but non-specialist audience? (For example, did the speaker avoid or explain discipline-specific jargon and acronyms?)

INTELLECTUAL SIGNIFICANCE: Did the speaker explain why the research matters to the field of study? If the student presents on collaborative work, was the significance of the student's unique contribution clearly specified?

ENGAGEMENT: To what extent did the talk speak to your intellectual curiosity? Did it make you appreciate the topic and want to learn more about it?

COMMENTS for the presenter (positive and constructive feedback, areas of opportunity):